

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,459	01/22/2002	Hans Beer	103655.50685US	6980
23911 7	590 01/30/2009		EXAMINER	
CROWELL &	& MORING LLP			
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY GROUP			·, ·
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300			
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/200	Q

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		10/051,459	BEER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lyle Alexander	1797				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 December 2008</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🔲	. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	ippeal, along with a				
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):					
	1.) The status of claims fails to provide the status of	all claims filed in the application.					
	The appellant may choose to only submit the defective section of the brief; an entire new brief is not required.						
		/Timothy Cole/					
		T.Cole Patent Appeal Specialist					
		poul openiulist					